PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	HORITI						
То:			PCT				
H Wagner & Co AB			ICI				
Norra Vallgatan 72		WRIT	TEN OPINION OF THE				
211 22 MALMÖ			NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
SVERIGE			(DCT-D-1-404: 1)				
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 4 -04- 2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER AC					
A 214 PCT			See paragraph 2 below				
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/SE 2004/001882	16.12.2004		19.12.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classific	cation and IPC					
C02F 1/74, B01F 3/04							
Applicant							
Andersson, Kurt							
This opinion contains indications related	ting to the following iter	ms:					
Box No. I Basis of the opin	Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority							
Box No. III Non-establishme	e step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
							applicability; cit
Box No. VI Certain documer	nts cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects in	n the international appli	cation					
Box No. VIII Certain observat	ions on the international	l application					
2. FURTHER ACTION							
International Preliminary Examining A Authority other than this one to be IPE written opinions of this International S	Authority ("IPEA") exce EA and the chosen IPEA Searching Authority will	ept that this does not ap has notified the Intern not be so considered.	ational Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that				
If this opinion is, as provided above, con IPEA a written reply together, where a of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the export further opinions, see Form PCT/IS	appropriate, with amend epiration of 22 months fi	ments, before the expir	the applicant is invited to submit to the ation of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form F	PCT/IS & /220						
3. To future details, see notes to form f	C1/15AV220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE		Authorized officer					
Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055							
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	1	Bengt Christensson / MRo					
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88	7	Telephone No. +46	3 782 25 00				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001882

Be	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	which it	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	claimed	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forma	it of material in written format in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addition	al comments:

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001882

Box No. I	II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The quest industrial	ion whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be y applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application						
	claims Nos.						
becaus	e:						
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos.						
	relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	The claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported						
	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form has not been furnished						
	does not comply with the standard						
	the computer readable form has not been furnished						
	does not comply with the standard						
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	See Supplemental Box for further details.						

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001882

	Claims	1-9,	11-14	_ YES
	Claims			_ NO
p (IS)	Claims	4-5,	8-9	YES
	Claims	1-3,	6-7, 11-14	_ NO
olicability (IA)	Claims	1-9,	11-14	_ YES
	Claims			NO
	p (IS) plicability (IA)	Claims P (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims	Claims Claims 4-5, Claims 1-3, Claims 1-9,	Claims Claims 4-5, 8-9 Claims 1-3, 6-7, 11-14 Dicability (IA) Claims 1-9, 11-14

2. Citations and explanations:

The invention

The invention concerns a device for mixing air and water in a water purifier. The mixing device comprises a water inlet pipe and an air inlet pipe. The air inlet pipe extends coaxially within the water inlet pipe and defines therewith an annular gap. An annular water jet is provided. Downstream of the annular gap there is a mixing member.

Similar water purifiers according to prior art technique clog. Furthermore, the mixing is not sufficient.

These deficiencies are overcome in that the mixing member includes a helical water flow disturbing device. The flow disturbing device is hit by the annular water jet. Parts of the inlet pipes which define the annular gap, consist of plastic material.

Cited documents

These documents are cited in the International Search Report. The citations are considered to describe the most relevant prior art:

- D1) SE-C2-504 449 =US-A-6 036 178
- D2) US-A1-3 852 384
- D3) EP-A2-0 731 062

. . . / . . .

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001882

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

A device for mixing water and air in a water purifier is already known from D1. An air inlet pipe extends coaxially inside a water inlet pipe (fig. 1 & claim 1). There is an annular gap between the one end of the air pipe and an inner wall of the water pipe. The water inlet pipe defines a mixing portion.

Analysis

Claim 1

The invention according to claim 1 differs from the teaching of D1 in that claim 1 describes a helical means in the mixing chamber. Another difference is that the inlet pipes are made of plastic material.

These differences result in that the mixing and oxygenization of water are improved. Moreover, clogging is prevented. The person skilled in the art, who is aware of D1, encounters the problem of arriving at the advantages mentioned above.

Improving mixing and oxygenization of water and minimizing clogging are desires that are common when mixing air and water. The solutions that are presented in claim 1 are common in the technical field. D2, for example, describes a helical baffle that provides a spiralling path for air-water (fig. 2 & column 3, lines 23-31). Reference is also made to D3 (fig. 2 & claim 1), which reveals a similar technique. Considering the other difference, i.e. preventing clogging with plastic material, reference is made to e.g. D2 (column 4, lines 61-67).

Modifying the technique according to D1 with a helical means to improve mixing and using plastic material to prevent clogging lacks an inventive step. The specific adjustments revealed in claim 1 are well known in the technical field. A special and unexpected technical effect is not considered to be obtained with these adjustments.

.../...

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001882

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box $\,\,V\,$

Claims 2-3

Claims 2-3 teach that the plastic material is polyethylene. This material is known from D2.

Claims 6-7

Claims 6-7 reveal how the helical means is arranged. These features are described in D2.

Claims 11-14

The shape and material of the tube in the mixing member, the pipe coupling and the flow control means according to claims 11-14 are all items of equipment that are well known to the person skilled in the art.

Conclusion

In accordance with the arguments stated above, the invention In claims 1-3, 6-7, 11-14 is novel, but not considered to involve an inventive step. All the claims are considered to have industrial applicability.

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001882

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawing or on the question whether the claim are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 1

The expression "around through-flow portions (6a) thereof within said helical means (8)" used in claim 1 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers. The description does not give any information either concerning exactly what those through-flow portions (6a) are or what they do.

Description & Claim 10

The through-flow portion (6a) is written in singular form in the description p 3 and in claim 10. In claim 1 and in the description p 2, the through-flow portion (6a) is in plural form.

Claim 11

Since the tube (9) in claim 11 has been mentioned earlier in claim 6, the word should be preceded by the article "the", not "a".